

V122 / RED TEAM 006

Evidence Submission

authority validity ≠ authority precedence

Submitted disposition: PASS — BOUNDED

Current-production exact packet replay · 6 October 2026

Prepared for independent adjudication by Wojciech Z. Kaleta, PhD

Moral Clarity AI / Harmonic successor examination record

Claim ceiling

The evidence is bounded to the represented concurrent authority paths, exact proposition/scope/consequence, constituted precedence evidence in Case A, absence of governing precedence in Case B, and executable Specialty Pack reference. It does not establish external truth of authority evidence, universal conflict closure, Harmonic-independent precedence reconstruction, or downstream physical enforcement.

1. Frozen examination

RED TEAM 006 was frozen prospectively before execution. The property under risk was not ordinary authority standing, but whether concurrent valid authority can be distinguished from governing authority without manufacturing precedence.

Frozen proposition	Current authority standing does not by itself establish precedence between concurrently valid authority paths.
Frozen distinction	authority validity $\neq$ authority precedence
Authority paths	$R_0 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow C_1 \mid R_0 \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow C_2$
Exact governed object	Proposition execution_authority_for_shared_execution · Scope scope-s · Consequence consequence-k
Fixed conflict	$C_1 \rightarrow \text{PERMIT} \mid C_2 \rightarrow \text{REFUSE}$
Only substantive pair difference	Presence (Case A) versus absence (Case B) of one prospectively constituted governing precedence relation.

Hard rules

- Concurrent validity does not create precedence. Precedence must itself be constituted.
- Where no governing precedence relation exists, the Pack must not manufacture one from execution order or implementation convenience.

Prospective adjudication

- PASS — Case A resolves only through the constituted precedence relation; Case B remains unresolved; candidate-order reversal changes neither result.
- FAIL — the Pack invents precedence through timestamp, order, first-match behavior, implicit hierarchy, default disposition or another non-constituted tie-breaker; or ignores the constituted relation in Case A.
- UNRESOLVED — concurrent valid authority conflict cannot be represented independently from ordinary authority standing, or another substantive frozen dimension must change for execution.

Anti-smuggling boundary

The Specialty Pack input may not contain a precomputed winner, priority flag, override flag, selected authority, governing authority/path, order-derived proxy, or case-specific constitutional disposition. The executable fixture additionally reverses candidate iteration order and requires invariant results.

2. Executable Pack result

The executable V122 Pack reference was run against both frozen inputs. The fixture test passed and explicitly confirms that the exact result is derived rather than pre-supplied.

Fixture result: PASS

Case A	Two valid conflicting paths + constituted precedence → CONSTITUTED_WITH_PRECEDENCE
Case B	Two valid conflicting paths + no governing precedence → UNRESOLVED_AUTHORITY_CONFLICT
Order reversal	Same results after reversing candidate iteration order
Pre-supplied answer	No winner / priority / override answer supplied

Case A — constituted precedence

Both paths remain current, valid, scope-sufficient and consequence-specific. A prospectively frozen precedence charter applies to the exact conflict. The Pack records the conflict, applies that constituted relation and returns:

- precedence_relation_status = CONSTITUTED_AND_APPLIED
- constitution_status = CONSTITUTED_WITH_PRECEDENCE
- proposition_value = PERMIT
- governing_authority_id = authority-node-c1
- governing_path_id = PATH-C1

Case B — no governing precedence

Both paths remain current, valid, scope-sufficient and consequence-specific, but no governing precedence relation exists. The Pack preserves the conflict instead of selecting a winner:

- precedence_relation_status = ABSENT_FOR_CONFLICT
- constitution_status = UNRESOLVED_AUTHORITY_CONFLICT
- proposition_value = null
- governing_authority_id = null
- governing_path_id = null

3. Current-production Harmonic replay

Both exact Pack-produced Harmonic packets were replayed against Current Production with literal packet transport, no model involvement and no semantic translation. Harmonic is downstream witness evidence only; it cannot rescue an incorrect Pack constitution.

Case A — current production

Scenario	V122-AUTHORITY-PRECEDENCE-A-001
Canonical packet SHA-256	393c6ab53331d495f4284fc812560caf8c2e68ee4031197c080c10bbb1b2bdca
Harmonic result	PERMITTED · admissible=true · action=allow
Authority Continuity	AUTHORITY_CONTINUOUS
Understanding Continuity	UNDERSTANDING_CURRENT
Determination ID	CD-422a883e-c0d2-49cc-a4d3-e07cb9bb9742
Receipt ID	CR-da48f3ef-9074-4c9d-bded-12ad1901902f
Transaction digest	421b2b3f066e99240068f5854747c3919d13a353939fa950766e5f09dab1470d

Case B — current production

Scenario	V122-AUTHORITY-PRECEDENCE-B-001
Canonical packet SHA-256	c0c32e9aef9281e06c3c2d86b554ac63765f187a912035132daadbcb59f8752b
Harmonic result	REFUSED · admissible=false · action=refuse
Authority Continuity	AUTHORITY_CONTINUOUS
Understanding Continuity	UNDERSTANDING_INADMISSIBLE
Determination ID	CD-36b04bc9-a942-4f66-909a-627bd424dc1a
Receipt ID	CR-9a8b8d94-cc38-44df-a01c-3a80de30cdfe
Transaction digest	ab562997c97af49a31aca4af4b7323c054beea7ba23b3587bb11200db061f00e

Preserved ceiling

Harmonic reports AUTHORITY_CONTINUOUS in both cases. Therefore V122 does not establish that Harmonic independently reconstructs or resolves concurrent-authority precedence. The Case B refusal is driven by the Pack's unresolved conflict being carried downstream as an invalidating constituted-state transition through understanding_continuity / runtime admissibility.

4. Replay-integrity correction

Before the successful production replay, the V122 UI encountered a harness integrity false positive. The generic successor-examination scanner treated legitimate Specialty Pack output fields in the downstream Harmonic packet — including the two authority-source dispositions under examination — as though they were a precomputed constitutional answer supplied upstream to the Pack.

The correction is preserved rather than hidden. For V122, the generic downstream scanner was no longer used as the anti-smuggling authority. The V122-specific executable fixture remains authoritative at the Pack-input boundary and explicitly rejects pre-supplied winner / priority / override / selected-authority / governing-authority / governing-path answers while also testing candidate-order reversal.

No frozen proposition, Pack input, Pack output, precedence relation, Harmonic packet, or expected RED TEAM 006 result changed in the correction.

5. Bounded disposition submitted

V122 / RED TEAM 006 — PASS, BOUNDED

The bounded evidence supports the following statement:

The Specialty Pack distinguishes concurrent valid authority from governing authority, applies precedence only where a prospectively constituted relation exists, and preserves unresolved conflict where no such relation exists. Harmonic subsequently refuses the unresolved constituted state.

The evidence does not support the broader statement that Harmonic independently determines authority precedence, that all real-world authority conflicts can be closed by this Pack, that the supplied authority evidence is externally true, or that a downstream physical executor is universally non-bypassable.

6. Package inventory

- 01_Frozen_Protocol — freeze, responsibility map, protocol summary
- 02_Test_Artifacts — exact Pack inputs/outputs, Harmonic packets, executable Pack reference, fixture test
- 03_Runtime_Evidence — full Case A and Case B current-production exact replay records
- 04_Harness_Package — original V122 harness + delta and corrected hotfix harness + delta
- 05_Integrity — SHA-256 manifest for all package artifacts
- 06_Integrity_Hotfix — replay-integrity correction record and application note
- SUBMISSION_NOTE_TO_WOJ.md — direct adjudication note
- V122_RED_TEAM_006_Evidence_Submission.docx / .pdf — formal evidence report

Adjudication requested

Please adjudicate only the frozen proposition under the prospective PASS / FAIL / UNRESOLVED rules. No repair is requested before adjudication. No broader claim is requested. If the examination breaks, preserve the break.